



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/015,747	12/17/2001	Kazuro Yamada	35.C16084	2847		
5514 FITZPATRICK	7590 03/02/2007 CCELLA HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER				
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ROBINSON, MYLES D			
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2625			
			<u> </u>			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/015,747	YAMADA, KAZURO			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Myles D. Robinson	2625			

	Myles D. Robinson		2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	TION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendr tice of Appeal (with appeal	ment, aff I fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the m	7 CFR 1.1 g amount reply origi	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	te extension fee late extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search ( w);	(see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of fi 16 and 41.33(a)).	inally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15	21. See attached Notice of	f Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protent the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		b) ∐ Wi	i be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		<b>.</b>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why th	ne affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections und	der appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	ıs after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the appl	lication in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		Ju/	
Mult Ad-		SUPER	TWYLER LAME	(/ EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Referring to claims 1 and 7, the Applicant's amendments and remarks raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Therefore, the proposed amendments will not be entered..